

Tim Coulter Architect

52A Painshawfield Road
Stocksfield
Northumberland
NE43 7QY

9 December 2020

The Rt Honourable Guy Opperman MP

Dear Mr Opperman

DEBATE ON 14 DECEMBER 2020 CONCERNING : “PREVENT ANY RESTRICTIONS ON THOSE WHO REFUSE A COVID-19 VACCINATION”.

As the MP representing the Hexham Constituency MP I desire that you vote to, “Prevent any restrictions on those who refuse a Covid-19 vaccination” for the following reasons:

- 1 As Conservatives we believe in individual liberty and personal responsibility within a moral framework which in this country has been formed by our Christian heritage.
- 2 We therefore understand the authoritarian communistic tendencies of Kier Starmer, the Labour Party and the Liberal Democrats. where they require more and more restrictions. Our right to earn a living is in jeopardy.
- 3 I pose the following question: is the Conservative party the bastion of individual liberty, does it demonstrate any clear policy difference from the other parties or has it in effect become a socialist party?
- 3 The opinions of credible highly qualified scientists / doctors and individuals with reasonable views but opposed to the party line are being stifled by the main media. This appears to prevent open debate and forms the basis of misrepresentation (of the facts).
- 4 I wish to state that I have previously given consent to vaccinations. However I have the right to decide what I do with my body. Am I now to be coerced and become a chattel of this state? Where is informed consent?

I would like to discuss this matter further and in this respect attach the following observations.

Yours sincerely

Tim Coulter BA BArch Architect

A The membership of Sage has been subject to an overrepresentation of inappropriate advisers. There has been far too much belief in mathematical modellers rather than real world data (ie Ferguson and his historically disastrous inaccurate models etc). There have been too few world class specialists with knowledge of immunology, epidemiology and virology. The course of such infections is well known and they follow a predictable path. They go through the population, wane and disappear. Even now it appears that the Sage assumption is that nobody has natural immunity to Covid 19 from previous genetically similar coronavirus infections. Sage therefore loses credibility.

B The so called pandemic has therefore been characterised by a lack of appropriate scientific rigour/ advice from Sage bringing science into considerable disrepute. We will all suffer from this in the long term. It has become a political virus.

C The real world data data now shows the so called pandemic (not a real pandemic) is like a bad flu and has not generally been causing excess deaths on an annual basis. It singles out the elderly with co-morbidities but generally is not fatal for those under 65.

D The inventor of the PCR, the Nobel Prize Winner the late Kary Mullis, stated that it should not be used for diagnosis. It is being used at 40-45 cycles of replication where even Dr Fauci tells us the results above 36 cycles are not clinically meaningful. We are told that there can soon be up to 1 million tests a day in the UK. Please stop the use of inappropriate PCR mass testing (Pillar 2) straightaway. It will stop the Casedemic and we can concentrate on the figures for excess deaths.

E The vaccines have been rushed and not been thoroughly tested over a reasonable period. This is dangerous and unscientific. The vaccines are to be delivered by new technology where their long term side effects are currently unknown. However there is data from previous animal studies in earlier attempts to develop coronavirus vaccines where all the test animals died. Due to shortcuts animals studies have been currently omitted. As our representative do you wish to be responsible for any such potential collateral damage? Where is the precautionary principle?

F Have we not learnt anything from the earlier bird and swine flu outbreaks where deaths were negligible, panic with collateral damage from ill researched vaccinations considerable. Do you recall Gulf War Syndrome?

G In circumstances where this virus causes relatively few deaths in historical terms, and the unknowns of these vaccines, for what good reason should we all be force vaccinated?

H Is it not against our God given rights?

I We went through issues of personal responsibility at the Nuremberg trials. Are not the current thought processes a step backwards to before the trials which my family fought so gallantly to resist. This fiasco is now in part to satisfy the greed of the pharmaceutical industry and other fellow travellers who go along with their methods / agenda for whatever reasons.

H The current direction is Government by big business, for big business and in the interests of big business.

I Such government is not concerned about the individual whose rights require protection from being crushed by money and power.

J Please may we have a course correction.

K With the current world trajectory our rights to say schooling, benefits and travel may all be threatened without proper evidence for taking unproven, potentially dangerous and repeated vaccines. We are already seeing this occurring in Common Law jurisdictions such as Australia, New Zealand and Canada. It is unacceptable and we need the protection of our MPs from such Constitutional outrages.