

Fit-for Purpose

Guy Opperman MP ref: GO4464

By signed for Post

NOTICE-OF-POTENTIAL-LIABILITY-FOR MALFEASANCE-IN-PUBLIC-OFFICE:

SILENCE-IS-AQUIESCENCE,-AGREEMENT-AND-DISHONOUR

Time Sensitive Document

Estoppel Conditions Apply Upon Default

NOTICE-TO-PRINCIPAL-IS NOTICE-TO-AGENT; -NOTICE-TO-AGENT-IS-NOTICE-TO-PRINCIPAL

Applicable to All successors and AssignsTime

12 January 2022

To: Guy Opperman MP and as the living man

MP for Hexham Constituency

House of Commons

London SW1A 0AA

From: Tim Coulter Architect and as the living man

52A Painshawfield Road

Stocksfield, Northumberland

NE43 7QY

Strictly for the personal attention of Guy Opperman MP concerning:

My letter dated 9 December 2020 forwarded by Guy Opperman resulting in Nadhim Zahawi's reply dated 22 April 2021 and the further correspondence with Guy Opperman MP listed below.

Evidence:

This is to notify you of the evidence of your potential liability for malfeasance in public office.

1. I first informed you of the evidence based success of Early Home Treatment on 6 April 2021 for the treatment of COVID 19. The evidence indicates a reduction in hospitalisation and death from 80- 85%. Why would not you desire this result which has brought about better outcomes in countries where it has been applied than from so called vaccines? Would it not reduce numbers in the hospitals and prevent transmission? With the unprecedented number of adverse effects recorded by national agencies is it not safer and more effective? (Please see attached study reference below). Why have we not protected the NHS by this simple safe, effective and traditional approach? Is it not true that the ongoing panic over Omicron would be helped by Early Home Treatment.
2. I submitted my **Notice** of 21 August 2021 to you on 5 October 2021 with the request that you write to the Prime Minister. However at your own behest, the Notice was forwarded by your office to The Department of Health (DoH) on 13 October 2021 when it had previously been received by Nadhim Zahawi MP, Minister for COVID 19 Vaccine Deployment as signed for mail on 24 August 2021. No reply is therefore required as we already know the position as follows:
3. With the DoH failure to reply to the original Notice of 21 August 2021 within 14 days I had concluded that they agreed with me (the six listed items). My email of 5 October 2021 confirmed this reasonable position. It is perfectly reasonable that I concluded the above listed items, is it not? I do not consent to be re-contracted by your email of 13 October 2021.
4. It is noted that after the submission to you of the above Notice, you continued to promote the so called vaccines without any reference to promotion of Early Home Treatment. The evidence from Uttar Pradesh, Japan, Indonesia and elsewhere (some of which I have written to you about) has proved the success of this approach using repurposed cheap and effective drugs as described in my correspondence listed below. Within a number of weeks the so called Pandemic was brought under control in these areas. Further I attach the map of Africa below marked with a graph comparing areas where Ivermectin has or has not been used. Please inform us as to why we continue in the UK and Western World with the inappropriate vaccine only approach?
5. **You were made aware of the benefits of traditional Early Home Treatment by my email of 6 April 2021 and further detail on 14 June to save up to 85% of injuries and death. This also protects the NHS. No doubt others have informed you. This constitutes evidence of your potential liability of Malfeasance in Public Office.**
6. I have personally written to you over an extended period with the relevant information giving you every opportunity to attempt to alter the Government's approach. I have tried to act in a helpful manner in the best long term general interests of the people of this country and therefore hopefully of the Conservative Party. I have written on more than one occasion asking for a meeting with you which has been declined. I have no evidence that you have acted on the data and information provided.

7. Is it not true that it would be difficult for a reasonable human being, fully informed of the facts, to comprehend your actions and conduct in this matter?
8. As my representative in Parliament and a Minister I was hopeful that you would base your actions on the evidence I have submitted to you since 9 December 2020. It has taken considerable study, knowledge and experience to assemble this evidence.
9. I note that you have sworn both the Parliamentary Oath and Ministerial Oath. The Ministerial Oath requires you to uphold seven principles of public life: selflessness, integrity, objectivity, accountability, openness, honesty and leadership.

It has been explained to me that:

we generally attempt to treat people with serious disease as early as possible to avoid excessive deaths and injuries.

we do not generally tell people with a serious disease to go home with no treatment at all, see how sick they become and only then if they become really sick, finally in their desperation allow them into hospital.

we have generally previously isolated and quarantined the sick and treated them as early as possible. We have not locked down (prison terminology) the healthy.

we do not generally vaccinate into a pandemic particularly with non-sterilising vaccines (ie. products not preventing infection or transmission in the recipients) which renowned experts tell us promote variants particularly when you bizarrely attempt to vaccinate the whole population. Is it not true that the Government advisers appear to be acting for the Pharma Cartels the Government has generally fallen into line?

Based on the above and the correspondence listed below, if I do not hear from you with a convincing explanation for your conduct according to your oaths of office within 14 days of this Notice I can only conclude that you have been acting in malfeasance in Public Office by not promoting Early Home Treatment and thus causing excessive deaths and injuries.

Without ill will, vexation or frivolity

With sincerity and honour

Signed

All right reserved

Tim Coulter

Enc: My Notice of 21 August 2021 to Nadhim Zahawi MP

Relevant list of documents submitted to you and received by me:

My letter to you of 9 December 2020 outlined the general situation.

My email to you of 6 April 2021 which requested a meeting with you and included my email to the Cabinet Office of 28 March 2021 regarding vaccine passports. I referred to the effectiveness of Early Home Treatment.

Your email of 16 April 2021 in reply and vaccine passports.

Your email of 29 April 2021 attaching a copy of the response to my letter of 9 December 2020 from Nadhim Zahawi MP of 22 April 2021 from the Department of Health and Social Care.

My email to you of 29 May 2021 regarding the letter I had sent to the Lighthouse Laboratory Glasgow of 28 May 2021 with a copy to you requesting cycle threshold information and the genetic sequences being employed in their PCR Test.

Your email of 8 June 2021 declining a meeting with me.

My email to you of 14 June 2021 including an Agenda for our potential meeting. My email commented on the nonsense that Nadhim Zahawi had written to me on 29 April 2021. The detailed Agenda included the following well considered headings: Current Situation, Actual Situation, Solution using Early Home Treatment. It included in para 13 a video by Dr Peter McCullough, Internist, Cardiologist & Epidemiologist and para 32 about Dr Vladimir Zelenko both concerning the success of Early Home Treatment.

Your email of 28 June 2021 declining a meeting regarding my Agenda of 14 June 2021.

My email of 8 July 2021 with corrections to my email of 7 July 2021 which promoted the use of traditional Doctor prescribed Early Home Treatment.

My letter to you Of 9 July 2021 regarding the use of Ivermectin and the success of the meta-analysis supporting its use.

Gobbledegook letter from Department of Health of 23 July 2021 regarding my FOI request regarding the PCR Test but they confirmed the use of the 40 cycle threshold which creates a large number of false positives!

My Notice of 21 August 2021 to Nadhim Zahawi MP which dealt with his claims of 22 April 2021 to which there was no reply.

My email to you of 5 October 2021 informing you that the co called COVID 19 vaccinations were neither safe nor effective and attaching my original letter 9 December 2020 and Notice of 21 August 2021 to Nadhim Zahawi MP. The email stated the number of extraordinary and unprecedented number of deaths (40,000) and millions of injuries caused by the so called vaccines. I requested for it to be a criminal offence for not reporting adverse events.

Your email dated 13 October 2021 stating that my email of 5 October 2021 had been forwarded to the Department of Health to ensure that my concerns receive a response at the highest level. As no response had been received within 14 days of 21 August 2021 I had concluded that the so called vaccinations were neither safe nor effective (Please see further confirmation by the current data and particularly from Gibraltar with over 100% injection rates but vaccine failures).

My email to you of 13 October 2021 stating my lack of confidence in any common sense emanating from the Department of Health. My letter to you of 3 December 2021 rejecting vaccine passports due to them being discriminatory and promoting medical apartheid.

My email to you of 10 December 2021

General Information:

<https://thezelenkoprotocol.com> : Study carried out with Dr Roland Dewand, Professor Martin Scholz and Vladimir Zelenko.

Further confirmatory studies have been carried out on the work of Dr Peter McCullough, Drs Tyson and Fareed.

